# THE $39^{\text {th }}$ ANNUAL (2017) UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS COMPETITION 

## PART II SOLUTIONS

1. If statement 4 is true, then all four statements must be false. Therefore statement 4 cannot be true. Thus statement 4 is false. If statement 3 is true, then 1 and 2 must be true. 2 implies at most 2 of the statements are true, so 3 is false. Thus, 2 is true and so is 1 .
2. Bob has a winning strategy. In his first move, Bob makes sure $a_{0}$ is selected (if Alice has not selected it yet.) By the Integer Root Theorem the only integer roots of the polynomial are divisors of $a_{0}$. This leaves finitely many possible values for integer roots. In his last move, Bob selects the last coefficient so that none of the divisors of $a_{0}$ is a root.
3. Let $O$ be the center of the inscribed circle and $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{2017}$ be consecutive vertices of the polygon. Note that since the circle is tangent to all sides of $P, O$ lies on all internal angle bisectors of $P$. Let $H$ and $K$ be the points of tangency of the circle with sides $A_{1} A_{2}$ and $A_{2} A_{3}$, respectively. We know $\left|H A_{2}\right|=\left|A_{2} K\right|$. By assumption $\left|A_{1} H\right|=\left|A_{3} K\right|$. Therefore triangles $A_{1} H O$ and $A_{3} K O$ are congruent, by SAS. This implies $\angle O A_{1} H=\angle O A_{3} K$. Therefore the internal angles $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ are equal. Repeating this we conclude,

$$
A_{1}=A_{3}=\cdots=A_{2015}=A_{2017}=A_{2}=A_{4}=\cdots=A_{2016}
$$

4. Color the cubes white or black in a way that every two adjacent cubes have different colors. (This is essentially a 3D-checkerboard coloring.) Suppose corner cubes are black. Thus, we will have 14 black and 13 white cubes. Note that every path alternates between white and black cubes and the center cube is white. Since we have more black cubes than white, a path cannot end with a white cube. Thus, the center cube cannot be the last cube in the path.
5. Suppose on the contrary $x_{k} \geq 0$ for all $k \geq N$. Thus, $x_{k+1} \geq 0$ for all $k \geq N$. This implies $1-c x_{k} \geq 0$. Therefore $x_{k} \leq 1 / c$. On the other hand $x_{k+1}-x_{k}=\frac{1+c x_{k}^{2}}{1-c x_{k}} \geq 1$. Therefore $x_{k+1} \geq x_{k}+1$, which implies $x_{N+m} \geq x_{N}+m$, for all $m \geq 0$. This contradicts $x_{k} \leq 1 / c$.
If $x_{k} \leq 0$ for all $k \geq N$, then $x_{k+1} \leq 0$, which implies $1+x_{k} \leq 0$. This implies $x_{k+1}-x_{k} \geq 1$, which implies $x_{N+m} \geq x_{N}+m$, for all $m \geq 0$. This contradicts $x_{k} \leq 0$.
