

THE 40<sup>th</sup> ANNUAL (2018) UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS COMPETITION  
PART II SOLUTIONS

- Suppose the contents of the envelopes in dollars are  $x, x + 1, \dots, x + 5$ . The total money in the envelopes, thus, is  $6x + 15$ . The total amount in the remaining envelopes is between  $x + x + 1 + \dots + x + 4 = 5x + 10$  and  $x + 1 + \dots + x + 5 = 5x + 15$ . Thus,  $5x + 10 \leq 2018 \leq 5x + 15$ , which implies  $x + 2 \leq 2018/5 \leq x + 3$ . This shows  $x = 401$ . Since  $2018 = 5 \times 401 + 13$ , the last envelope contains  $x + 2 = 403$  dollars. The answer is 403.
- Let  $O$  be the center of the circle. Note that  $A$  and  $O$  are both on the perpendicular bisector of  $BC$ . Thus  $AO \perp BC$ . Since  $DE$  and  $BC$  are parallel and  $AO \perp BC$ , we have  $AO \perp DE$ .

Let  $r$  be the radius of the circle and  $M$  be the point of intersection of  $DE$  and  $AO$ . We need to show  $|MO| > r$ . Since triangles  $AOB$  and  $ADM$  are similar, we have

$$\frac{|AO|}{|AD|} = \frac{|AB|}{|AM|}$$

Since  $|AD| = |AB|/2$ , we have

$$|AM| = \frac{|AB|^2}{2|AO|} = \frac{|AO|^2 - r^2}{2|AO|}$$

This shows  $|MO| = |AO| - |AM| = \frac{|AO|^2 + r^2}{2|AO|}$ . To show  $|MO| > r$ , it is enough to show  $|AO|^2 + r^2 > 2r|AO|$ , which is equivalent to  $(|AO| - r)^2 > 0$ . This holds since  $|AO| > r$ .

- Let  $T = \{x + 1 \mid x \in S\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . By assumption,  $S$  is closed if and only if  $T$  satisfies the following
  - $1 \in T$ .
  - If  $x \in T$ , then  $n - x \in T$ .
  - If  $x \in T$ ,  $y \geq 1$  and  $y$  divides  $x$ , then  $y \in T$ .

Suppose  $n$  is prime and  $S$  is closed. We will show  $T = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . On the contrary assume  $k$  is the smallest positive integer less than or equal to  $n - 1$  that is not in  $T$ . Note that  $k \geq 2$  and thus  $k$  does not divide  $n$ . By division algorithm, there are integers  $q$  and  $r$  for which  $n = kq + r$  and  $0 < r < k$ . By the choice of  $k$ , we have  $r \in T$  and thus by (b),  $n - r \in T$ . This implies  $kq \in T$ . By (c),  $k \in T$ , which is a contradiction. This shows  $T = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .

Now assume  $n$  is composite and let  $T$  be the set of all positive integers less than or equal to  $n - 1$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ . Clearly (a) is satisfied. If  $x \in T$ , then  $n - x$  is also relatively prime to  $n$  and thus  $n - x \in T$ , which shows (b) is satisfied. If  $x$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then every divisor of  $x$  is also relatively prime to  $n$ , which shows (c) is satisfied. Also, if  $1 < r < n$  is a divisor of  $n$ , then  $r \notin T$ , which means  $T \neq \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . This completes the proof.

- For any  $n$ , let  $a_n, c_n$ , and  $i_n$  denote the total number of knight paths of length  $n$  which begin at  $A$  and end at  $A, C$ , and  $I$ , respectively. We are trying to find  $a_{2018} - i_{2018}$ .

First we evaluate  $a_2$  and  $i_2$ . There are two knight paths from  $A$  to  $A$  (specifically,  $AHA$  and  $AFA$ ), one path from  $A$  to  $C$  ( $AHC$ ), one path from  $A$  to  $G$  ( $AFG$ ) and no paths from  $A$  to  $I$ . Thus  $a_2 = 2$  and  $i_2 = 0$ .

Since there are 2 knight paths of length 2 from  $A$  to  $A$  and one each from  $C$  to  $A$  and  $G$  to  $A$ , we have  $a_{n+2} = 2a_n + c_n + c_n = 2a_n + 2c_n$

By similar reasoning,  $i_{n+2} = 2i_n + c_n + c_n = 2i_n + 2c_n$ .

Subtracting these two equations we obtain  $a_{n+2} - i_{n+2} = 2(a_n - i_n)$ . Therefore,  $a_{2018} - i_{2018} = 2^{1008}(a_2 - i_2) = 2^{1009}$ .

5. We claim this is impossible. Suppose on the contrary  $n$  strips of widths  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  cover a unit disk  $D$ . Let  $S$  be the sphere whose great circle is the boundary of  $D$ . For each strip draw two planes perpendicular to the plane containing  $D$  through parallel lines that bound that strip. Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be the regions that are created by these planes on the sphere  $S$ . Note that by assumption the sum of areas of  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  is at least the area of  $S$ .

Recall that the area of a spherical cap is  $2\pi rh$ , where  $r$  is the radius of the sphere and  $h$  is the height of the spherical cap. Since the area of each ring  $R_i$  is the difference of the areas of two spherical caps, the area of  $R_i$  is  $2\pi \times \frac{1}{2} \times d_i$ . This implies  $\pi d_1 + \dots + \pi d_n \geq 4\pi \frac{1}{4} = \pi$ . Therefore,  $d_1 + \dots + d_n \geq 1$ , which is a contradiction.